


²²
~~27~~. A compound as in Claim ~~6~~¹ wherein R₁ is

B1
T1540X



REMARKS

Claims 6-27 are in the application. In view of the submission of two further dependent claims in excess of those for which a fee has already been paid, the applicants enclose herewith a check in the amount of \$4.00 in payment of the statutory fee.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider his rejection of Claims 6-19 under 35 USC 103 based on a combination of the Stache et al. reference in combination with Ercoli et al., both of record, and the newly-cited Sarett reference of record, and not to apply this rejection to new Claims 26 and 27.

First, the Examiner's rejection of these claims is confusing and ambiguous. All of the claims in question are compound claims, yet the Examiner's rejection appears to contend that the compounds are obvious in view of a method. Thus, the Examiner contends that it would be obvious to one skilled in the art to apply the method of the Ercoli et al. reference of record to the starting compounds of Stache to obtain the compounds of the invention. However, such a method is first disclosed in the present application, particularly in